

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

also provides that the owner shall have a lien upon cargo for "all freight demurrage and all other charges whatsoever," these provisions are not inconsistent, and the owner is entitled to a lien for demurrage notwithstanding it is stipulated that it shall be paid "day by day"; (2) he also held that "charges" did not include "dead freight" i. e., freight payable in respect of unused space.—Canada Law Journal.

Innkeepers—Liability for Injury to Guest.—The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, in the recent case of Lyttle v. Denny, not yet reported, held that an innkeeper is liable to a guest, who is injured by the top of a folding-bed falling down upon him while he is occupying the bed. It was said that the degree of care required of an innkeeper for the safety of his guests was less than that required of a carrier of passengers, and the Court adopted the statement of the rule in Beale on Innkeepers and Hotels. "The innkeeper is bound to provide reasonably safe premises. * * * Both in original safety of construction and in maintenance, the premises must be such as reasonably to secure the safety of the guest."

Applying this rule, the Court held that there was no duty on the plaintiff to show the exact defect in the bed which caused it to fall down upon and entrap him. "Bearing in mind the duty of the inn-keeper to guard with reasonable care the safety of his guests, proof of the happening of such an extraordinary accident cast the burden of explanation at once upon the defendant. The accident was so far out of the usual course that no fair inference can arise that it could have resulted from anything less than negligence upon the part of the management of the hotel. Beds do not usually operate as spring traps to close upon and catch the confiding guest."—National Corporation Reporter.

Negligence—Proximate Cause.—A somewhat novel question was involved in the decision in the case of Houren v. Ry. Co., 86 N. E. 611, in which the Supreme Court of Illinois held that a railway company which, by obstructing the streets of a city, in violation of the statute, prevented the city fire department from reaching the plaintiff's house in time to extinguish a fire, was liable to the plaintiff for the loss thereby suffered. The statute in question forbids a railway company obstructing a public highway by stopping any train thereon for a longer period than ten minutes. The defendant, as a fact, failed to remove its train from across the public highway for more than thirty minutes. The court held that the evidence adduced tended to prove that if the fire department had not been prevented by this obstruction from reaching the scene of the conflagration, it would have been able to extinguish the fire before it spread to plaintiff's premises from the adjoining premises, in which it originated. Clearly, the railroad com-